

App. F. IX:4

Previous References

SA 248.06-1.3, 10, 25, 26

SA 107.13-3-9 + 29-31.

SA 107.13-142

Later References

SA 107.13-10 + 11.

Lat Rifas
SP. 12-43 (1-8)

1927.8

File No. 53.27

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4,
5 and 6

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Six

Part B for August

SUBJECT

*Pension to Khadija Begum

No. 976 9.1.8.29.

Encl to Khadija Begum.

Inform that her request for a State pension
govt. cannot be sanctioned

8/5

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S. A. File No. 53. of 1927..

Serial
No.

Petition dated 25.8.27 from Khadija Begum, a Mysore State Pensioner praying that a State pension of Rs 8/- enjoyed by her nephew ~~Mr. P. C. A. A.~~ may be granted to her in view of her deplorable condition.

1) Put up p.p. with a n.d.

Jb. Mv.
17.9.27.

2) The file grant of State pension of Rs 8-2-4 to Khadija Begum is S+A 107-13- (Sl's 3-9) and is flagged W. But in the printed list of State Pensioners (Flagged U) the Ep. quoted gave the file no. as S+A 107-14. The correct file S+A 107-13 could not be easily traced.

3) Next it had to be verified if there were no previous papers re. Khadija Begum's request now made. The pensioner had

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 53 of 1927

Serial
No.

Ali Raja Mangel to whose pension of Rs 8/- the petitioner lays her claim is stated to have died on 16.2.1918. The file registers of several years from 1918 onwards had to be looked into to verify whether the claim to this pension from this same petitioner had come up to Govt. This verification by the help of file registers could not be done in the Camp. in the absence of books. I submit that this was the reason for the delay and request most humbly that I may kindly be excused

Placed V

Kind reference is invited to para 4-7 of the printed memo of 21.5.91 for the rules re. the devolution of these pensions and also paras 7-11 of the notes in the file S+A 107-13 put up

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Placed W

1194

App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 52 of 192

Serial
No.

Kharaja Begum's request for
the pension of Rs 8/- enjoyed by
mo. Ali Raza Mangel who is said
to have died on 16-2-1918 is
for orders.

Perhaps a report may be called
for from the Comptroller.

Gps
20-10-27

5. The statement at A in the
P. V. D does not seem to be
true. Kind reference is invited
to A F. L. W. in the file placed on table
and the petition which led to the G. C.
F. L. W. It is clear from these two
papers that her husband was in re-
ceipt of a monthly pension of only Rs
16-4-8 and not 24-4-8 as now
stated by the petitioner. The nephew
referred to in the petition may pro-
bably be a distant relative, through the
family of Ali Raza Khan.

The nephew is stated to have
died on 16-2-1928, but his name
is found in the list F. L. W. which is

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S. No. File No. 53 of 192

Serial
No.

Corrected npts 1st. Sept. 1918. prob-
ably the information that he had
died by that time was not available
at the time of the preparation of the list.

It may perhaps be ascertained
from the Comptroller whether the pension
npts 8/- which the late ^{Alwarra Sait Hozel} nephew was
drawing, has lapsed on his death.

Even if it has lapsed, there does
not appear to be any case for con-
tinuing it to the petitioner. In the
first instance it was not ^{registered} in the name
of her husband as already noted above.

From paras 6 and 7 it is clear that
these pensions were originally granted
only during the life of the grantees.
Subsequently the principle of awarding
half the original pensions to the ^{survivors}
of the deceased pensioners, seems
to have been accepted and, followed.
But there is nothing to show
that the pension enjoyed by a de-
ceased nephew has to be ~~made out~~
~~in the~~ continued to the aunt as in
this case. I am doubtful whether
even according to Mohammedan Law
an aunt, distantly related, can be
an heir to a nephew. We cannot

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1694
9221 - n

App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S- F. File No. 53 of 192

Serial
No.

go^{on} indefinitely like this, but we
will have to ~~put up with~~ ^{reject or call} such claims.
9. I think the petition may be
rejected.

F.L. Secy) M.V.
23/10/27

10. Let us see what the Comptroller
will say. Answer may be

Called for. 1/25/10/27

Draft
M.V.
27/10/27

12 Submitted with a draft
for approval -

Eps. 27.10.27

13. Issue

M.V.
28/10/27

2 H:3349 & 31.10.27 to the
Comptroller. Calling for a report in
the matter.

Sus

3 Letter No: Pen 172 dated 9.11.27
from the Comptroller, submits a
report.

14/11/27
Eps. Subd for orders with ref^{ce} to para
10 supra.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial
No.

Kind reference is invited to para
'8 above. These pensions were at first
granted only for life. They do not
not devolve any heirs. It is
only as an act of grace that Govt.
have been continuing these pensions
redrawing them at each death.

In this case the ^{alleged} nephew died
in Feb. 1918 without leaving us
one to survive him, as the peti-
tioner puts it.

The Comptroller in his report suggests
an enquiry as to the admissibility
or otherwise of the claim of the
petitioner and brings to the
notice of the Govt. that there are
other pensioners of the same family and
raises a point whether for the
consideration ^{of Govt.} whether ~~they~~ any
of them has got a better ^{claim} right
than the petitioner.

It is submitted that ~~that~~
though the alleged "nephew" died
about nine years ago, none of
them has come forward to claim
the pension. I think it is unnecessary
for us to enquire into their claims.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2775927
P8

2291

2281

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S. R. File No:

58

of 1927

Serial
No.

A
As regards the claim of the petitioner
to her nephew's pension, the L. R.
may perhaps be consulted whether
under Mohammedan Law an aunt is
an heir to a nephew. If the
Mohammedan Law does not recognise
such a succession, further en-
quiry seems unnecessary es-
pecially in view of the fact
that these ~~kind~~ pensions were
granted only for the lives of the
grantees in the first instance
N. L. Secy J. M. W.

19. To L. R. with Compliments. 19
for opinion on point A.
in the light of Complis
report
21.11.27
142

20. My opinion is placed below.

For Sect
with Complis

88
P. 12
142

21 Please put up a Draft to Secy
requesting that information be ^{kind of} obtained
and furnished as regards the marriage relations
of the deceased and the validity of the
claim of the pet.

23 Draft

22 The a/s. may discuss with me as to
whether we are justified in making
the pension as before and not
subject to survival. President

24 put up with 12/11 may be referred to 12/11/27

+7699
21/11/27

GENL. & REV. SECT.
2 21.11.1927
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
2 DEC. 1927
No. 2476

Open

The records do not show who are the near relatives of the deceased person. The petitioner alleges that the deceased was her nephew. Whether she is a paternal aunt or maternal aunt is not clear. It is not safe to act upon bare allegations. It is a matter for enquiry whether she is really the aunt of the deceased and whether there are not nearer heirs to the deceased. But the law on the subject is as stated below:-

"Uncles and aunts come in to inherit as distant kindred of the 4th class. They take only if there are no distant kindred of the 1st, 2nd or third ^{class. See Section} (Vide 63 and 64.)
^{of Mulla on Muhammadan Law}

Distant kindred are entitled to inherit when there are no sharers or Residuary, (Section 54.) Therefore an aunt will inherit as distant kindred in the absence of other heirs mentioned above. (See Mulla on Muhammadan Law page 50, 51, 67 and 68.)

S. S. Aggarwal
Legal Remembrancer
1. 12. 1927

2291

App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.A. File No. 53 of 1927

Serial
No.

2110
15/12

25. Subd with a draft to the
Residency as per orders in para
21 of the notes.

26 As regards para 22 of the notes
precedents, if any, will be searched for

G.S.
14.12.27 27 The C/W has not yet been
able to find out precedents.

28 I shall personally submit the
question of lapse in the case
of state pensions like the
present one, when precedents
are traced.

R. (Secy) P.W.
15/13

29 The draft is not in order.

The Comptroller's letter should be
incorporated in the Revy letter

15/12
30. Incorporate and bring up
P.W.
16/13

31 Submitted with a revised draft

G.S.
19.12.27

32 Draft typed as devised by me


Asst. Secy:

G.S.W.
20/12

R. (Secy) P.W.
20/13

WD 0824-GPB-60,000-24-5-27

2nd member (Rev)
made
A.R. 29/12/27
P.W.
21/12/27



Serial
No.

33.2me

42
28.12

Swa.

Pol: 771 of 3.1.28 to the Secy. to
the Resident in Mysore requesting
that information may kindly be
furnished as regards the validity
of the claim of Khadija Begum
to a State pension of Rs 8/- per
month enjoyed by her nephew Ali Raza
Sahib Mogel.

34 Resubmitted with reference to paras
22 and 24 of the notes.

35 The circumstances under which
the Mysore State Pension was insti-
tuted are given in para 4 of the
printed memorandum put up.
In para 6 of that memo it is stated
that these pensions were first
granted only for the life of the
grantees, that on their death

Reg:-

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.A File No. 53 of 1927

Serial
No.

portions of the pension and sometimes the whole were renewed to their descendants and that later on, where the families of deceased pensioners were in a deplorable condition and as such fit objects of consideration, the policy was to make no reduction in the pension. No definite rules had however been laid down for regulating the amount payable in each case.; vide para 7 of the memo

May: G.

36. Kind reference is invited to pages 21 and 22 of the notes in S.A. 248 of 1906-7. The relevant portion is also extracted below -

The practice has been to continue the pensions to the indigent relations of the pensioners if they are deserving of help. As the relatives happen to be almost always utterly destitute there are now very few of the original pensions which are not being enjoyed by some one or other

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.A. File No. 53 of 1927

Serial
No.

of the original family and which have lapsed to Govt: in their entirety. At the same time, there are very few cases in which the original pension has been continued in full. It has been the practice to reduce it by half or a third at each succession.

37 Regarding the practice in general in such cases in British India, the ^{then} Financial Secretary has recorded as follows in file x S. A. 64-10

So in the majority of cases of political pensions in British India the Govt: of India expressly reserve the right to consider the question at each succession and it is therefore possible to reduce

Mr. Chakravarti

This is put up in another connection not available.

The term requires interpretation.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.A. File No. 53 of 1927

Serial
No.

the amount of pension of a particular family with the lapse of time and ultimately to extinguish it altogether" x x x x

38 Certain State Pensions held by female pensioners have been ordered to have lapsed to Govt:- To wit

(i) Pension of Khaseemunnisa Begum (Rs 42-6-0) lapsed to Govt: (This file is destroyed - as stated in another file which is separately submitted. S.A. 226-27)

(ii) Pension (Rs 1-4-0) of Rabia Begum has been directed to lapse to Govt: (vide H: 1723-4 / S.A. 52-23-13 of 22.9.24).

(iii) Pension of Tiptun Bi (Rs 29-2-8) lapsed to Govt: (S.A. 375-07.)

Another file S.A. 160-05 is also destroyed - (vide H: E)

39 Taking the practice into consideration

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D: 2291
78.

App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.A. File No. 53 of 1927.

Serial
No.

it may on the whole be stated that
in Mysore these pensions are gener-
ally continued to the heirs of pensioners
in whole or in part and that their
lapses are more in the nature of
exceptions.

42 Subd. with reference to paras 22 and
24 of the notes.

G.S.W.
11.1.28

Petition of 28.3.28 from Khadija
Begum forwarded by the Private Secy
to the Dewan praying for early orders
on her previous petition.

42 Asst. Secy's remarks on P.U.D.

"Orders have been already passed. I
believe. P.P. M.V. 13/4/28.

43 Subd with previous papers -

The settlement of the question
of petitioners' petition is pending
as the information called for from

I want information
called for from
1/2/28
P.V.
12/2/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial
No.

4782
D 72

4782

An acknowledgement
card is put up.

gs
13/4

Endowment suit
H 8210 14/4

GSW
18/4/28

44 Remund Hes G
13/4

45 Subd with a draft reminding the Resy:

gs
14.4.28

46. 2mm. (True Copy
to be signed by LL
H. E. G.)
14/4

Issued P.A. No: 1410 dated 21.6.28

Letter No: 6080 dated 26.6.28

From the Residency - Enclosure
in original the records of enquiry
forwarded by the Collectors of
North Arcot and Madras -

47 The petitioner Khadja Begum
is stated to be the paternal
aunt of the deceased Ali Raza
Sahab Mahel (Md Ali Raza Mahel)

Serial
No.

B

and the petitioner is reported to be the only nearest relative of the deceased: It is also seen that Khadija Begum was being helped by the deceased while alive.

Subd for orders - with reference to paras 20-22 of the notes.

C/W: B. N. S. R. V.
14th Nov.
J. L. S.

G. S. D.
10.7.28

A study of the records of enquiry discloses that one Ali Raza Khan to whom a state pension of Rs 1000/- ^{per mensem.} was first granted had two wives and that the petitioner's husband and the deceased pensioner whose pension of Rs 8/- is now claimed were the descendants of Ali Raza Khan by his first and legitimate wife and that they were related as paternal uncle and nephew.

i I Zathunnissa Begum,
ii Rahemunnissa Begum
and iii Nizamuddin

referred to in our letter to H-Residency, are stated to be the descendants of Ali Raza Khan

al. X

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 192

Serial
No.,

by his second and illegitimate
illegitimate wife and so to have
no claim to the pension enjoyed
by the nephew of the petitioner.

As regards No (IV) Ahmed Mohd
din Moyal referred to in our letter
to the Presidency, the engraving does
not reveal who he is and whether
he is related to the deceased
pensioner. The petitioner in her
statement before the Revenue Ins
pector has stated that she does
not know who this man is.

The Madras Collector's engraving
shows that the deceased pensioner
had a brother Mohamed Azam
Schib who is reported to have
left the place some fifty
years ago and whose present
whereabouts are not known.

If he is still living or is dead
leaving some issues, he or they
have probably ^{better} ~~superior~~ claims
to this pension ^{than} that of the
petitioner. The Legal Remembrances
may be consulted on this point.

From the report of the Revenue

NOTE—Contn. sheet

6031
72Serial
No.

File 2.

of the Revenue Inspector Madras, it is made out that a pension of Rs 24-4-8 was originally granted in the name of the petitioner's husband and that out of it a sum of Rs 8/- was later on granted to the petitioner's deceased nephew and that, on the death of her husband, the petitioner was granted a pension of Rs 8-2-4, i.e. half the balance of 16-4-8 enjoyed by her husband.

It is for consideration whether in view of the fact that these State pensioners are not hereditary and are only granted at the discretion of Govt., taking into account the circumstances of the ^{heirs} relatives of the deceased pensioners, and also in view of the fact that even the pension of her husband was reduced by half on his death, the pension of Rs 8/- enjoyed by the nephew may not also be reduced, in case it is decided not to lapse the pension altogether.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Ad Secy) 14/2
14/7

478
14/7

415/22
p. 22

App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

SA File No. 53 of 1927

Serial
No.

I think the pension of Rs 8 granted
Ali Raja Sahib Mughal, (deceased) which has been
vacant since 16.2.1918 should lapse to
There appears to be no good reason for
continuing it wholly or partly in favour of
the petitioner, who has been in receipt of a
pension of Rs 8.2.4 being half of what
her late husband was drawing.

Reference is made to para. 37 of the note
(1st member)
(Muz. Legal Rem.)

14/7/28
Muz.

The view taken by me in
S.A. 40 of 1925 & S.A. 100 of 1927
is similar to the one expressed
at para 37 supra.

This pension may be allowed to
be lapsed to Govt.

Sd/-
24.7.
Muz.

~~Draft~~ 142
25.7

The petitioner may be informed that
her request can not be granted

Muz.
27.7.25. 2

Draft 142
Muz.
27.7

Subd. with a draft for kind app
28/7/28

Inve
Muz.
28

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

To,

Amin-ul-Mulk,

Mirza Mohamed Ismail Esq., B.A. C.I.E., O.B.E.

Dewan of Mysore,

Madras 25th August 1927.

Section

29 AUG 1927

MUZRAI

8249

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.

Date of Receipt.....

Petr. Regr. No. 661

The humble petition of Khadija Begam, Mysore State Pensioner.
Most respectfully sheweth,

That she is a Mysore State pensioner drawing Rs.8-2-4 per mensem, being the widow of the late Mohamed Kalimulla Sahib Moyal great grandson of the late Ala Raza Khan Bahadur, Ambassador from Tippu Sultan to Lord Cornwallis. He was granted a pension of Rs.1000/- per mensem after the fall of Srirangapatam.

That out of this large sum a pension of Rs.24-4-8 was sanctioned to her husband. Again out of this Rs.24-4-8, a sum of Rs.8/- per mensem was paid to her nephew the late Ali Raza Saheb Moyal for his maintenance. On 16-2-1918 her nephew died leaving no one to survive him. That she has been, ever since the death of her husband in 1913, undergoing severest hardship in these famine days in maintaining herself and her five children with Rs8-2-4 sanctioned out of her husband's pension.

That they have all along been entirely depending on the bounty of H.H. The Maharaja, may God grant him long life and happiness. That as a respectable but miserably poor goasha lady she most respectfully begs to submit that she is tired of enduring further hardships and the burden and responsibility of maintaining her daughters and sons (unmarried) have driven her to your honour's door for your honour's merciful consideration with humble solicitations that your honour will kindly place her poor and deserving case before H.H. The Maharaja for the

the continuance to her the sum of Rs.8/- enjoyed by her late nephew.

That she humbly prays that this act of benevolence out of several which H.H. The Maharaja was pleased to bestow on scores of his poor subjects on the august occasion of his Silver Jubilee may also be kindly extended to her being the poor great grand daughter ^{in law} of the late Ali Raza Khan Bahadur of the Mysore historical fame.

Your honour most obedient servant,

X mark of Khadija Begam

Address.

Khadija Begam,
No 58. Office Venkatachellam Mudali St.,
Chepauk, Triplicane, Madras. //

SUBJECT.....

Section S+A

File No. 53-27

Serial No. 2

No. H3349/61

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
()
the 192

To
The Comptroller to the
Govt: of Mysore

Sir,

Khadija Begum's application
for a State Pension of Rs 8/
drawn by her nephew, Ali Raza
Sahel Moyal (Md: Ali Raza

I am directed to forward
herewith for favor of a report
~~and~~ and return a petition
dated 27.8.27 from Khadija
Begum of Ali Raza Khan's
family on the subject ref-
erred to above.

I have etc.

over

MR

for Finl. Sec
etc.

Date of issue..... 3.1.10.27

Signed by..... am m. n.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 29th 27

Examined by.....

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. Pen. 172. *By one.* **FINANCIAL SECRETARY** Bangalore, dated 9th November 1927.

From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

DIARY NO. 2281

19 27-11-27
BANGALORE
PR. No. 53-27
3

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In returning herewith the petition dated 27th August 1927 from Khadija Begum of Ali Raza Khan's family, received with your letter No. Fl.3349/S&A.53-27-2 dated 31st October 1927, I have the honor to state that Mahomed Khaleemulla Mayel was drawing a pension of Rs.16-4-8 as per letter No.4052/195 dated 31st October 1883 from the Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore to the Assistant Resident. After his death on 8th August 1913, a pension of Rs.8-2-4 was continued to be paid to his widow Khadija Begum (present petitioner), as per Government Order No. Fl.2320/S&A.107-14-6 dated 1st December 1914.

2. Ali Raza Saheb (Mohamed Ali Raza Moyel as entered in the books of this office) son of Khader Ahmed Mayel was granted a pension of Rs.8/- as per Chief Commissioner's No.92 dated 21st July 1880. It is reported that this pensioner was the nephew of Khadija Begum the present petitioner and that he died on 16th February 1918. No records are available in this office to prove the relationship of the deceased to the petitioner and an enquiry by Government in this respect seems to be necessary to decide the admissibility or otherwise of the claim of the petitioner.

There are four other members of the same family who are in receipt of pensions sanctioned to them as detailed below:-

1	Izathunnissa Begum.	Rs.12	0	0
2	Rahemunnissa Begum	,,	3	0 0
3	Nizamuddin	,,	5	0 0
4	Ahmed Mohaeddin Mayel.	,,	6	0 0

Whether

Whether any of the above pensioners has got a better claim to the pension of the deceased pensioner. Ali Raza Sahab Mayel is also a point for the consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. V. M. S. Saw
For Comptroller

213 9/4

8M 53. 27.4
To

dated 3-1-1928

The Secy. to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Pol. 771
encl. one

Sir,

"Khadija Begum's application for ^{the} a State
pension of Rs.8/- per mensem drawn by
her nephew Mahomed Ali Raza Mayel"

---:O:---

I am directed to invite reference to
the correspondence ending with this office
letter No. Pol. 520 dated 1-12-1914 in regard
to the grant of ^a pension to Khadija Begum of ~~the~~
Ali Raza Khan's family.

I am now to forward herewith a copy
of petition dated 27-8-1927 from the said
pensioner praying for the grant to her of
the pension of Rs.8/- enjoyed ~~during his~~
~~life time~~ by her nephew the late Ali Raza
Saheb Mayel (Mohamed Ali Raza Mayel as en-
tered in the records of this State) son of
Khader Ahmed Mayel. ^{With a View} In order to decide the
validity of the claim, it is necessary to
enquire whether she is really the aunt of the
deceased and whether there are not nearer -
relatives to the deceased. It is found from
the records available here that there are -
four other members of the same family who are
in receipt of pensions sanctioned to them as
detailed below:-

(i) Izathunnissa Begum	Rs.12-0-0
(ii) Rahemunnissa Begum	Rs. 3-0-0
(iii) Nizamuddin	Rs. 5-0-0
(iv) Ahmed ~~Mohaddin~~ Mohaddin Mayel	Rs. 6-0-0

Whether any one of these has a better claim
than the claimant may also be kindly enquired
^{Concerned Collector or other officer of the}
into through the Madras Government and the re-
sult, intimated to this Government for further

P.T.O.

Hy 30¹²/27

further action.


I have etc.,

PMV *21/1/22*
WJ Fl:Secy.to Govt.

Fair draft

Mue
28/1/22

Pet. No. 2948



4782

DEWAN'S OFFICE,

Bangalore, dated 31. 3. 1928

SECRETARIAT
7 APR 1928

MUZRAI

8134

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the ^{Financial} ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government, in continuation of this office pt. no. 661 dated 28. 8. 1927.

GENL. & REV. SECT.
12 APR. 1928
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

4782
H.
W/

ST 57u The previous petition referred to has not been registered in the muz. Branch. As this is a case of Pol. pension, this may be transferred to Fin. Branch.

By Order,

Mr 3/4

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

82
10/4/28

Fin. Br.

Orders have been already passed, I believe. P.P. M. 4


Madras. 28th March 1928.

To,

Amin-ul-mulk,

Mirza Mohammed Ismail Esqr., B.A., C.I.E., O.B.E.,

Dewan of Mysore.



The humble petition of Khadija Begum, Mysore
State pensioner.

Most Respectfully Showeth,

That she most humbly begs to request that
your honor will be pleased to pass favorable orders on her
petition submitted on 25th. August 1927 (copy enclosed).

That she in her present embarrassment entire-
ly looks to your honor for kind help. She also submits for in-
formation that cases of such nature in the past were disposed
of favorably by the Mysore Government.

That this act of benevolence ^{done} to this
destitute gosha lady will be a real charity, and that she and her
dependents will be ever praying for the long life and continued
prosperity of H.H. The Maharaja and his household.

Your honor's most obedient servant

X Mark of Khadija Begum

Address.

Khadija Begum,

No. 58, Office Venkatachalam Mudali Street,

Chepauk, Madras.

Madras 25th. August 1927.

To,
Amin-ul-Mulk,
Mirza Mohammed Ismail Esqr., B.A., C.I.E., O.B.E.,
Dewan of Mysore.

The humble petition of Khadija
Begam, Mysore State pensioner.

Most Respectfully Showeth,

That she is a Mysore State pensioner drawing Rs.8-2-4 per mensem, being the widow of the late Md. Kalimullah Saheb Moyel great grandson of the late Ali Raza Khan Bahadur, ambassador from Tippu Sultan to Lord Cornwallis. He was granted a pension of Rs.1000/- per mensem after the fall of Seringapatam

That out of this large sum a pension of Rs.24-4-8 was sanctioned to her husband. Again out of this Rs.24-4-8, a sum of Rs.8/- per mensem was paid to her nephew the late Ali Raza Saheb Moyel for his maintenance. On 16-2-1918 her nephew died leaving no one to survive him. That she has been ever since the death of her husband in 1913 undergoing severest hardship in these famine days in maintaining herself and her five children with Rs.8-2-4 sanctioned out of her husband's pension.

That they have all along been entirely depending on the bounty of H.H. The Maharaja, may God grant him long life and happiness. That as a respectable but miserably poor gosha lady, she most respectfully begs to submit that she is tired of enduring further hardships, and the burden and responsibility of maintaining her daughters and sons (unmarried) has driven her to your honor's door for your honor's merciful consideration with humble solicitations that your honor will kindly place her poor and deserving case before H.H. The Maharaja for the continuance to her the sum of Rs8/- enjoyed by her late nephew.

That she humbly prays that this act of benevolence out of several which H.H. The Maharaja was pleased to bestow on scores of his poor subjects on the august occasion of his Silver Jubilee may also be kindly extended to her, being the

the poor great grand daughter of the late Ali Raza Khan of
Mysore historical fame.

Your honor's most obedient servant

(Sd) Mark of Khadija Begum

Address.

Khadija Begum,

No. 58, Office Venkatachalam Mudali Street,

Chepauk, Madras.

(True copy.)

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. *Pol-1180*

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

192

To

*The Secy. to the Resident
in Mysore*

Sir,

*'Khadiga Begum's application for
the State Pension of Rs 8/- p.m. drawn
by her nephew Mahomed Ali Raja
Majid'*

*In visiting kind reference
to this office letter no: Pol; 7718
3.1.28 ~~and~~ requesting to be fur-
-nished ^{with} certain information on the
subject referred to above, I am desir-
ous to request you to ~~be~~ be so good as
to expedite ^{the} information ^{requested} called for
therein.*

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by

over

I have etc,

*for File: Secy. to Govt**GP 14/4*

3434

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

No. 6080
269-1928

ENCLOS. 15

FINANCIAL SECRETARY

27 JUNE 1928

No. 18567

6 June 1928.

FROM:

A. G. Lothian,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

28 JUNE 1928

FINANCIAL BRANCH.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Subject:—

Khadija Begum's application for
a Mysore State pension drawn by her
late nephew.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Pol. 771/

S.A. 53-27, dated the 3rd January, 1928, I am

directed to enclose in original the records of
enquiry forwarded by the Collectors of North

Arcot and Madras.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



W. G. Herwood

Captain.

for SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

B.

BJ



In reply, please quote
number, date and short
title.

Received

Registered

From (Name) M.R.Ry. N. Subramania Ayyar

(Designation)

Tahsildar, Mysore.

Post Box _____, (STATION, _____)

Telegraphic Address _____

To The

Collector,

North Arcot.

L.Dis. No. 782-28, dated 21st April 1928.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES 22/6/28.

Mysore State pension - continuance - Khathiya Begum.
application - Report submitted.

Your footnote No. A.1-330-28 dated 7-2-28.

SPARE COPIES _____

The petitioner Khathiya Begum requests that the -
State pension of Rs. 8/- per mensem enjoyed by her nephew
the late Ali Paza Sahib Moyal (Mahammad Ali Paza Mayel)
may be continued to her, as she is unable to maintain --
herself and her 5 children with the small sum of Rs. 8-2-4
sanctioned for her, out of her husband's pension.

2. The individuals noted in the margin who are in --
receipt of State pension were examined as ordered. No. 1

- (i) Izzathunnisa Begum.
- (ii) Rahimunnisa Begum.
- (iii) Nizamuddin.

Izzathunnisa Begum states that she is not in any
way connected with the deceased pensioner and

hence does not claim any portion of it. No. (ii)

Rahimunnisa Begum states that the petitioner Khathiya --
Begum is her own sister and because she claims the pension
of the deceased, she requests that one half of it may be
paid to her. She adds that the petitioner's husband --
belongs to the family of the deceased pensioner but she
is unable to say definitely the exact relationship between
them. No. (iii) Nizamuddin states that the deceased -
pensioner is related to him as paternal grandfather in -
the male line, that he had two sons who died about 100 -
years ago without any surviving heirs, that the petitioner
father was his distant cousin and requests that a portion

6-

32-

portion of the pension claimed by Khathija Begum, ^{may therefore be paid to him.} He is -
also unable to give any definite information regarding the
heirs of the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Mayel.

3. From the enquiry made so far, it is not known if the petitioner is really the aunt of the deceased pensioner and if she is entitled to enjoy his pension as sought for. As she is stated to be a resident of Madras, she could not be enquired in this Office, to verify the validity of her claim.

4. The endorsement under reply together with the enclosures and the records of enquiry are submitted.

Diil. Natarajan.
for Tahsildar.


P.A. 33/4.

6-1
23/4/28

23.4.28

11

Before the Revenue Inspector of North Arcot District
 Statement of DZGA Humnisa Begum, Villor



கிஷ்கிஷ் ஸ்தம்பம் பண்ணுதல் பற்றியும் சொல்லி
 யுத்தரத்தையும் சொல்லி. அதற்குரிய பணம்
 பண்ணுதலுக்கு சொல்லி கொடுக்கப்பட்டது.
 அதற்கு சொல்லி கொடுக்கப்பட்ட பணம்
 பற்றி. கிஷ்கிஷ் ஸ்தம்பம் - பணம். பற்றியும். யுத்தரத்தையும்
 சொல்லி.


Kishki

كشكى

(Sd) DZGA Humnisa Begum

Before me

R. M. Narayana
 17.3.21
 N. V. Villor



Resubmitted.


On enquiry, Izzathumma Begum states that she ^{has} ~~does~~ ^{is} ~~have~~ any claim towards the pension due Ali Raja mayel nor she require any portion in it but Rationumma Begum says that she is the younger sister of the applicant Katiya Begum and that the pension of Rs 31. five to her is not sufficient to maintain her 6 children and she requests that one half of the pension enjoyed by Ali Raja mayel may be paid to her. If deemed fit, the request of the latter may be complied with considering her poor circumstances.

Records of enquiry are herewith submitted.

P. M. A. Raju
R. N. Vellu
18.3.28

Very urgent

307007
27/24 3.28



Returned.

If the R's report does not show what relationship exists between the petitioner & the deceased petitioner Ali Raza Sahib Mayel and whether she is really the aunt of the deceased and whether there are any nearer relations to him ~~than~~ than the petitioner or his sister Raki - minissa Begum - Miramudin (no: III) are of the other members of the same family has not been examined to verify the validity of the claim put forth by the petitioner?

The R-I will make
 for the Enquiries &
 submit a detailed
 report, in 2 days
 without fail -

As an Exchange reminder
 has been received on the subject

ref: the R-I and:

treat this ref:-

specially urgent

Verdine } 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.
 20. 3. 23 } 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.
 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40.
 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50.

Verdine 45

மேலே உள்ள புகாரை நிரூபிப்பதற்காக
 சிந்தை 41-ல் Rathiommisa Begum



அதற்குரிய உத்தரவு
 இது போன்ற சான்றிதழை
 பெற்றுக்கொள்ளும் அளவுக்கு முடியுமா என்று
 உறுதி செய்து கொடுக்கப்படுமா என்பதை
 முடிவு செய்து கொடுக்கப்படுமா.


அவசியம் ஏற்பட்டால் அதை விட முடியுமா என்று
 இப்போது உறுதிப்படுத்துக. அதற்கான உத்தரவு
 தான் உன் அலுவலகம் பரிசீலனை செய்து
 உறுதிப்படுத்தும். உன் உத்தரவு
 படித்து உறுதிப்படுத்தும் என்று தெரியுமா. அது
 உறுதிப்படுத்தும்.

அவரது
 சிந்தை

mark of
 Rathiommisa Begum
 Before me
 M. Narayana
 M N Vellore
 20-8-28

23
23

Resubmitted



The relation ship between the petitioner Ali Raja Nayal is not known properly even after my further enquiry. Nizamuddin on enquiry says that Ali Raja Nayal is his grandfather and that he is also entitled to the pension due to the deceased. I request that a portion pension may be given him. Any more information required may be got from the petitioner who is now residing at Madras.

3. Records of further enquiry are herewith submitted.

W. Natarajan
B. V. Vellor
29.3.28

24.

H. Ge.

35720

28

CE
Islam
843
7/4/28

Returned again.

2/ The R.D has not elicited from Enquiry of Miramuddin whether Ali Raza sheb mayal is his paternal or maternal grand father & whether he has left behind him any surviving heirs and if so who they are. A genealogical tree showing his descendant should be prepared and submitted for submission to the Coll.


3/ The statement of Miramuddin does not show if the petitioner is in any way related to him and how he is entitled for a share of the pension enjoyed by the late Ali Raza mayal. The R.D will submit a clear report in 24 hours with this report of 28. 3. 28 is ex. functory.

To the R.D. Allah N.M.

8/4/28, T. M. S. D. S. D. S. D.

[illegible]

- mark 5: 24 regarding



2/. On further enquiry,

Nizamuddin says that Ali Raja Barik may also be his paternal grandfather but the two sons left by him are no more. We want of definite information, the genealogical tree could not be submitted and the same if necessary may be got from the applicant who is at Albadra.

3/. The petitioner is a son relative of Nizamuddin and he says that the father of Kariya Begum is his cousin brother and so, ^{he requests to} ~~he claims~~ share in the pension of Ali Raja may also.

4/. Further statement recorded from Nizamuddin Sahib to Herewith submitted for perusal.

R. B. Atarajdar
R. N. Vellu

" 4.

Statement of Kathija Begam, residing at no: 58, off
Venkatachala Mandal Shik, Triplicane, Madras.

I am the petitioner for the grant of a pension of Rs 8, being the pension enjoyed by my nephew, the late Ali Raza Sahib Mayal, a Mysore State pensioner. I am widow of the late Mohammed Kalimulla Sahib Mayal the second son of the late Gulam Sahib Mohideen Sahib is grandson of the late Ali Raza Khan, Ambassador from Tippe Sultan, to Lord Cornwallis. Late Ali Raza Khan who was the source of the pension (Political) of Rs 1000/- month, had two ~~not~~ wives (one named ~~Shadi~~ Fathima Khan legitimate wife and the second Amina Bi. a slave woman; By his first and legitimate wife Fathima, Khanam, he had nine daughters and by his second wife, he had two sons viz. Mohammed Naji and Mr. Taj. Gulam Mohi Sahib Mayal, who is the grandfather of Ali Raza Sahib Mayal and the father of my deceased husband Mr. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal, is the son of Hayath-un-nisa, the eldest daughter of the late Ali Raza Khan. Mr. Gulam Mohideen Sahib Mayal, was the recipient of State pension (military) of Rs 48-14-0 per mensem. At his death, his eldest son Gulam Khadar Khan Mayal, was granted $\frac{2}{3}$ of his father's pension. At death of Gulam Khadar Khan Mayal, his brother Mr. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal, ~~was~~ ^{my} husband ~~of~~ ^{was} granted a pension of Rs 24-4-8. Of this, a sum of Rs 8/- was sanctioned to my deceased nephew, Mr. Ali Raza Sahib Mayal. Since my husband died, I was granted a pension of Rs 8-2-4 which ~~is~~ ^{is} hardly sufficient for the maintenance of my deceased husband's children (three daughters and two sons). After my husband's death I am

and my children were under the impact of the decree
and Ali Raza Sahib Maygal. It is clear that the sum of Rs. 5,000, enjoyed
by Ali Raza Sahib Maygal, was the portion of the said person of the said
enjoyed sanctioned to the said Ali Raza Sahib Maygal who was
the grandson of the late Ali Raza Khan by his legitimate wife.
There are no other persons claiming to be my descendants except
myself and my children in as much as, Ali Raza Sahib, the
only brother of the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Maygal, who left
behind him a wife, whose name is Mrs. Ali Raza Sahib. No one
but known one does not seem to have put in any claim to
the said person - of the 4 claimants (1) Soathumisa Begum,
(2) Rahamunissa Begum (3) Nizamuddin and (4) Mahmud Ali Raza
Maygal, Nos. 1 to 3 are the descendants of the late Ali Raza
Khan by his second wife, whose name was Mrs. Ali Raza Sahib and Ali Raza
Sahib. These persons are enjoying the portion of the said person
and, therefore, no legitimate claim for the portion sanctioned
to my husband's side. Further there is no direct relationship
between the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Maygal and Nos. 1 to 3.
No. 2, Rahamunissa Begum, my sister has no claim whatever to the
portion of the person in question since she has no direct relation-
ship with the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Maygal, who is my
nephew through my husband. Muhammad Mohaddis Maygal is
not known to me. So far as I know none of the persons of this
side (deceased and by the legitimate wife of the late Ali Raza Khan)
had put in any claim to the person sanctioned on the other side and
I consider them ^{present} claims illegitimate and un-considered. The facts
set forth above, I believe, can be found in the records of the office,
where - I therefore request that the portion of Rs. 5,000 enjoyed by the
deceased person, may kindly be sanctioned to me for the maintenance
of my children and myself.

Yours faithfully,
Ali Raza Sahib.

31. 5. 25

Left hand
Thumb impression
of Khatija Begum

Before me
11/5/25

Submitted with the Sta
the petitioner, Katlija Beg
Enquiries show that the fa
~~fact~~ in her statement are true.
has got records to show that
late Ali Raza Khan, the son
the grant of a State pension of
per mensem, had two wives
that Gulam Mubideen Sahi
Mayal, grandfather of the de
pensioner Md. Ali Raza Sahib
and her deceased husband's father
was the grandson of Ali Raza Kh
through his first and legitimate
she ~~was also~~ got is also in posses
of the records relating to the cause
of the pension to Gulam Khadim
Ahamed Mayal and Md. Ali
Raza Sahib Mayal. The claim
to the pension ^{is also} ~~is also~~ ^{is also}
Beyan and there are said to be
descendants of Ali Raza Khan
through his second wife. These
have therefore no direct relation
ship with the late Md. Ali Raza
Sahib Mayal. Since Katlija Beg
the petitioner, is understood to be
the paternal aunt of the deceased
pensioner, Md. Ali Raza Sahib May
and since ^{by} ~~the~~ ^{of the day} ~~only~~ brother, Md. Rza
Sahib, whose whereabouts are not
to the petitioner, does not seem to have
claimed the pension, the peti
got a preferential claim over
same.

I have already reported
detail regarding the claims of

6.
Statement of Gulam Dostager Sahib,
Headmaster, Madrasai Hajee Isa,
Ala Sahib, Anderson Street, G.T. Madras
and retired Supervisor, ~~Madras~~ of
Mohammedan Elementary Schools,
Madras Chengelpet Sts: residing at
No: 26, Parthasarathi Vaidya Street,
Triplicane, Madras.

I know the petitioner, Khatija Begam, and her deceased nephew Ali Raza Sahib Mayal. Khatija Begam is the widow of the late Mohamed Kalimulla Mayal, who was getting a ^{Rs 24-4-8} ~~my~~ pension of ~~Rs 24~~ ^{Rs 8}. The pension of Rs 8 enjoyed by the deceased Ali Raza Sahib, is a portion of the pension of Rs 24 ⁴⁻⁸ ~~4~~ ^{anchored} to the late Mohamed Kalimulla Sahib. Late Ali Raza Sahib Mayal is his brother's son and he died about the year 1918. Since the death of the late Mohamed Kalimulla Sahib Mayal, the petitioner Khatija Begam ~~was~~ is getting Rs 8-2-4. The petitioner is the paternal aunt of the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Mayal. The deceased died intestate. He left his wife some thirty years ago. He has only one brother, who is now in Hyderabad. He is in affluent circumstances. The portion of the pension enjoyed by the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Mayal, should in my opinion go to the petitioner, Khatija Begam. After the death of the petitioner's husband her nephew, the late Ali Raza Sahib Mayal, was paying her Rs 7/- a month for maintenance of herself and her children.

Statement of Mohammed Ismail
Sahib, retired by: Collector residing at
no: 39, Bell's Road. Madras

I know Kathiya Begam, the widow of the late Mtd. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal. He died about 15 years ago leaving behind a son employed and 2 other minor sons and 3 daughters and Mtd. Ali Raza Sahib Mayal, who was his only relation then at Madras. He was the only elderly member of the family. Kathiya Begam is a political pensioner, to which she became entitled after the death of her husband. A similar pension was being drawn by Mtd. Ali Raza Sahib. He died ~~about~~ ⁱⁿ the year 1918 and left no children or wife behind. So long as he lived, the family of the late Mtd. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal was under ^{his} ~~the~~ care and protection of the deceased Mtd. Ali Raza Sahib and Mtd. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal belonged to one and the same family of the late Ali Raza Khan Bahadur of the Mysore fane and the political pension granted to both of them was for the services rendered to the State by their great grandfather. Kathiya Begam is the paternal aunt of the deceased through her husband. The elder and the only brother, of the deceased Mtd. Ali Raza Sahib Mayal lives in Hyderabad and that he left the Madras about 50 years ago.

W. L. L. L.

4. 5. 28

Retires Deputy-
Collector

Ghulam Dastgir.

St. Isaacmar. Haji Ena Albe Saint.
7, 28 Anderson St. G. T. Innes



Submitted - 16 MAY 1928
The petitioner, Kathiya Begam
is the widow of the late M.

Kalimulla Sahib Mayal, who was getting originally sanctioned Rs 24-4-8 by way of Political pension (mysore) - Ali Raja Sahib Mayal ~~is~~ is the son of late ~~of~~ M. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal brother. A portion of the pension (Rs 8/-) was sanctioned to Ali Raja Sahib from the pension of Rs 24-4-8 that his father's brother was getting - when M. Kalimulla Sahib Mayal died, a pension of Rs 8-2-4 was sanctioned to the petitioner, Kathiya Begam. and she is even now enjoying the same. Enquiry shows that Ali Raja Sahib Mayal died in the year 1918. He ~~had~~ said to have no sons issues and wife. He has one brother, who is said to have left his share some 50 yrs back and he is understood to be in Hyderabad. His present address is not known to the petitioner. It is said that there are no other nearer relatives to the deceased Ali Raja Sahib Mayal ~~than~~ except the petitioner, Kathiya Begam. She is his paternal aunt through her husband - As ~~the~~ it is understood by enquiry that there are no other ~~nearer~~ nearer relatives to the deceased Ali Raja Sahib Mayal than the petitioner and the brother of the deceased, reported to be at Hyderabad.

and as the ^{said} brother ~~had~~ ^{is said to have} not ~~for~~
put in any claim to the said pension
since the death of the pensioner —
Ali Raza Sahib Moyal, & he has
a claim for the said pension. It
is learnt that she was helped
by the deceased even financially
since the death of her husband.

W. S. L.
N. R. S.
2/5/28



6
L.Dis. A-3-1521/28



Resubmitted to the Collector of Madras records of enquiry.

2. The petitioner, Kathija Begum is the paternal aunt of the deceased Ali Raza Sahib Moyal. The deceased had a brother and he left the family some 50 years back to -- Hyderabad. His present whereabouts are not known.

The petitioner is reported to be the only nearest relative of the deceased and is entitled to the claim. -- It is also understood that she was being helped by the deceased while alive.

R.S.14/5

Sd/- Kathija Begum
for Tahsildar

14/5



N.D. A.I. 93028.

Filed with the report of
the valuations in
the matter.

W. H. H. H.

Collector.

aug 24
1882

To
the collector of
N.D.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section S.A

File No. 53-27

Serial No. 6.

No. H976/

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

192

Date of issue..... 21.8.28

Signed by.....

M.M.V.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

Endt: granted to Khadija
Begum, no: 58, Office
Venkataswamy Murali Sree
Chepank, Thiruvananthapuram
Madras.

With reference to her petition
dated 25.8.27, praying
for the grant to her of
a State pension of Rs 8/-
now fallen vacant by the
death of the ^{pensioner} grantee, Late
Ali Raza ^{gahat} Mayet, Son of
Khaseer Ahmed Mayet, the
petitioner is informed that
her request cannot be granted
over

Rs 0.